

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  vs.  SHAWN DARNELL JEFFERSON,  Defendant.	2:19-CR-20039-TGB  ORDER STRIKING CORRESPONDENCE
--	---

Before the Court is correspondence from Defendant Shawn Jefferson (ECF No. 15). The correspondence will be stricken. Federal law permits a criminal defendant to appear “personally or by counsel.” 28 U.S.C. § 1654. This is “disjunctive; a party may either represent himself or appear through an attorney.” *Hall v. Dorsey*, 534 F. Supp. 507, 508 (E.D. Pa. 1982).

There is no right, constitutional or otherwise, to “hybrid representation - the representation at the same time by counsel and *pro se*.” *United States v. Trapnell*, 638 F.2d 1016, 1027 (7th Cir. 1980). Therefore, as part of the latitude accorded district courts managing their dockets, courts may bar filings submitted by an individual on their own when that individual is represented by counsel. *See United States*

*v. Agofsky*, 20 F.3d 866, 872 (8th Cir. 1994) (finding no error in the court's refusal to consider pro se motion where defendant was represented by counsel); *United States v. Tracy*, 989 F.2d 1279, 1285 (1st Cir. 1993) ("A district court enjoys wide latitude in managing its docket and can require represented parties to present motions through counsel.").

Future correspondence and filings are similarly barred and will be summarily stricken via Text Only Order, so long as Defendant Jefferson continues to be represented by counsel.

DATED this 5th day of May, 2021.

BY THE COURT:

/s/Terrence G. Berg

TERRENCE G. BERG

United States District Judge